

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/971,959	10/09/2001	Isao Namba	826.1763	4104
21171	7590 12/22/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			FLEURANT	IN, JEAN B
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2162	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		NAMBA, ISAO				
Advisory Action	09/971,959	Art Unit				
	Examiner JEAN B. FLEURANTIN	2162				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
THE REPLY FILED 05 November 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dehave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distance of the dist	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ele. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 8,13,19 and 24.						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-7,9-12,14-18,20-23 and 25-29.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	····································				
10. Other:		SASW				
		SHAHID ALAM PRIMARY EXAMINER				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/971,959

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant(s) stated that the present claimed invention patentably distinguishes over Tsuda or Barr. In response, the examiner disagrees with the precedent argument. However, when read and anlyzed in the light of the specification, the invention as claimed does not support applicants' arguments. Therefore, the limitations of claims 1-7,9-12,14-18,20-23 and 25-28 are rejected under U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. No. 5,950,187 issued to Tsuda. And the limitations of claim 29 is rejected under U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. No. 5,873,076 issued to Barr as indicated in the last Office Action in paper No. 7.